

## REMARKS

This application has been reviewed in light of the Office Action mailed on June 25, 2008. The Office Action rejected claim 102 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0024822 (Werndorfer). Claims 1, 4-10, 12-17, 19-20, 22-32, 40-41, 44-55, 66-68, 71-82, 103, and 113-116 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Werndorfer. Claims 2-3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Werndorfer in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,024,431 (Kornelson). Claim 11 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Werndorfer in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0160167 (Cheng). Claim 18 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Werndorfer in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0236836 (Borthwick). Claim 21 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Werndorfer in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0188016 (Vdaygiri). Claims 33-39, 56-65, and 83-84 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Werndorfer in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0192044 (Huntsman). Claims 42 and 69 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Werndorfer in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0019653 (Debaty). Claims 85-101 and 104-112 were previously withdrawn from consideration for being directed toward non-elected subject matter. The Office Action objected to claims 43 and 70 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Without conceding to the correctness of the Examiner's position, and solely to advance prosecution, and to permit the Applicant to obtain early issuance of allowed subject matter, applicant has canceled rejected claims 1-42, 44-69, 71-84, 102-103, and 113-116 in order to expedite issuance of this application. Such cancellation of claims is without prejudice and Applicant reserves the right to present these claims in a continuation application.


Applicant has rewritten objected-to claims 43 and 70 in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant respectfully submits that this application is now in condition for allowance.

The Applicant's attorney may be reached by telephone at 212-801-6729. All correspondence should continue to be directed to the address given below, which is the address associated with Customer Number 76058.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any required fee in connection with the submission of this paper, any additional fees which may be required, now or in the future, or credit any overpayment to Account No. 50-1561. Please ensure that the Attorney Docket Number is referenced when charging any payments or credits for this case.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**James J. DeCarlo**  
Reg. No. 36,120

Date: September 11, 2008

Customer Number 76058  
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP  
MetLife Building  
200 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10166  
Phone: (212) 801-9200  
Fax: (212) 801-6400  
E-mail: decarloj@gtlaw.com